Model-based Design of a Pilot Circulating Bed Membrane Bioreactor for Sewage Treatment
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Population growth in developing countries leads to overloading existing sewage treatment plants. Additionally, suburban residential complexes are sometimes constructed and inhabited before their sewage treatment facilities are ready for various reasons. Therefore, there is a need for locally developed package units, which should be robust, modular, and require minimum maintenance. The following article describes the conceptual design of a hybrid bioreactor of such a unit intended to treat 120 m$^3$ of sewage per day. The design makes use of published literature in this regard over the last three decades. The proposed bioreactor consists of an upstream circulating bed compartment (CBC) with plastic biofilm carriers and a downstream microfiltration membrane compartment (MMC). Each of these two compartments has a nominal effective volume of 29 m$^3$. The CBC is mainly anoxic whilst the MMC is aerobic (oxic) making the reactor an A/O arrangement. Following implementation, an initial experimental phase is envisaged to tune the reactor with respect to functionality and maximisation of nitrogen removal. Details of this tuning phase are also given in the article.
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**Introduction**

Developing countries, in general, face a big challenge of treating domestic sewage from an increasing number of decentralised residential complexes and overloaded existing treatment plants due to population growth. Suitable sewage treatment by robust package units would solve an existing environmental
problem as well as provide safe irrigation water for landscape areas and/or crops. Circulating bed biofilm reactors as well as membrane bioreactors and hybrid membrane bioreactors were utilised in the development of the conceptual design of the proposed hybrid bioreactor.

**Circulating bed biofilm reactors**

Circulating bed biofilm reactors have been used for wastewater treatment for nearly two decades (Heijnen et al., 1997, Lazarova et al., 1997). They are based on three-phase internal-loop airlift reactors (inter alia: Lu et al., 1995, Hwang et al., 1997). An airlift reactor is made up of a liquid (and solid) pool, which is divided into two vertical sections connected at the top and bottom. One of the two sections is aerated (the riser) resulting in liquid circulation due to gas holdup and density differences between the riser and the other section (the downcomer). The solid phase, which is the biofilm carrier, may be heavier-than-water particles like 0.09–0.3-mm basalt (Circox reactor) (Frijters et al., 1997) or lighter-than-water particles like 0.5–4-mm polyethylene granules (Lazarova et al., 1997). The solid phase filling ratio (FR) (m³ solid bulk per m³ reactor empty volume) is 5–15% for basalt and 10–40% for polyethylene granules. It is noteworthy that larger plastic biofilm carriers which are used in moving bed biofilm reactors (e.g., AnoxKaldnes K1 polyethylene carriers (Rusten et al., 2006) and polyurethane foam cubes 1–2 cm in size (Chu & Wang, 2011, Quan et al., 2012)) are not used in pilot/industrial-scale circulating bed reactors. They are, however, used in few lab-scale circulating bed reactors (1-cm polyurethane cubes, 20% FR (Yang et al., 2006); Hacketten carrier and cylindrical carrier similar to K1, 50% FR (Zhang et al., 2014); oblique cylinder 60% inclination angle 2 cm ϕ x 2.5 cm similar to K1, 50% FR (Zhang et al., 2014)).

The liquid circulation velocity is the most important parameter in the design and operation of airlift biofilm reactors. It depends on a number of factors, foremost of which is the sparged air-rate in the riser, which is usually expressed as superficial air velocity \( U_{sg} \) leading to a certain gas holdup \( \epsilon_g \) in the liquid and expressed as:

\[
\epsilon_g = a (U_{sg})^b
\]

where \( a \) and \( b \) are constants whose values are regime and system specific. For air-water system and bubble regime \( U_{sg} < 0.05 \text{ m/s} \) (Chisti et al., 1988):

\[
\epsilon_g = 2.47 (U_{sg})^{0.97}
\]

and the superficial liquid velocity \( U_L \) for internal-loop airlift reactors with the air-water system (Chisti et al., 1988):

\[
U_L = \left[ \frac{2 g h_D (\epsilon_r - \epsilon_d)}{(K_B (A_r / A_d)^2 (1 - \epsilon_r)^2)} \right]^{0.5}
\]

where \( g \) is the acceleration due to gravity (m/s²), \( h_D \) is the dispersion height (m), \( \epsilon_r \) and \( \epsilon_d \) are the gas holdup in the riser and the downcomer, respectively (-), \( K_B \) is the bottom loss coefficient (downcomer to riser) (-), \( (A_r / A_d) \) is the ratio of riser to downcomer cross-sectional areas.

Further,

\[
K_B = 11.402 (A_d / A_b)^{0.789}
\]

where \( A_b \) is the free area for liquid flow between the downcomer and the riser (m²).

If \( \epsilon_d = 0 \) and \( A_r / A_d = 1 \), Eq. (2) reduces to

\[
U_L = (2 g h_D \epsilon_r / K_B)^{0.5}
\]

The linear liquid velocity is simply:

\[
V_L = U_L / (1 - \epsilon_g)
\]

According to Heijnen et al. (1997), for \( 0.006 < U_{sg} < 0.06 \text{ m/s} \), the riser can be approximated to behave as a bubble column, for which

\[
\epsilon_g = 0.6 (U_{sg})^{0.7}
\]
for aqueous systems (Heijnen & Van’t Riet, 1984). They pointed out that Eq. (6) gives a reasonable prediction of the riser’s gas holdup without gas in the downcomer ($\epsilon_g = 0$) (Van der Lans, 1985). Hence, the linear liquid velocity for three-phase airlift reactor becomes:

$$V_L = (4g_hD/K_B)^{1/3} \{ 0.3 L_0 (U_{sg}/m)^{0.7} - \epsilon_s vsp (\rho_s/\rho_L - 1) \}^{1/3}$$

(7)

where

$$m = A_r/A_t$$ is the ratio of the riser cross-sectional area to the reactor total cross-sectional area, $\epsilon_s$ is the solid phase holdup, and $v_{sp}$ is the particles swarm velocity.

If $\rho_s = \rho_L$, Eq. (7) reduces to

$$V_L = (1.2g_hD/K_B)^{1/3} (U_{sg}/m)^{0.35}$$

(8)

Eqs. (4), (5) and (8) were used to estimate $V_L$ in the CBC of the proposed bioreactor.

The presence of a solid phase alters the hydrodynamics of airlift reactors. This is so even with low-density solids where the solid-liquid system may be considered as pseudo-homogeneous. Karamaenev et al. (1992) found that with the presence of 3-mm soft polyurethane particles, $\epsilon_g$ decreased significantly with increasing $\epsilon_s$, and that $\epsilon_g$ varied with $(U_{sg})^{1/2}$. Miyahara and Miyahara & Kawate (1993) showed that $\epsilon_g$ decreased significantly when $\epsilon_s > 0.2$ for low density particles. Lu et al. (1995) found that $\epsilon_g$ decreased with an increasing particle size (calcium alginate beads, $\rho_s = 1.03$ kg/L). However, contrary to the aforementioned findings, Lazarova et al. (1997) reported that the introduction of 10–40% (v/v) polyethylene granules improved $\epsilon_g$. They pointed out this contradiction by stating that the effect of solid particles on $\epsilon_g$ could be negative, neutral, or positive when comparing their work with previous studies.

It is clear from the foregoing that it is difficult to predict a priori (i.e., at the design stage) $\epsilon_g$ and $V_L$ for a particular system with a specific regime. It is, nevertheless, useful to assume the air-water system with a commensurate regime to obtain $\epsilon_g$ and $V_L$ from reasonably reliable predictive models available in the literature. Generally, such an approach will over-predict $V_L$ values.

The absence of air bubbles in the downcomer makes it anoxic. This is achieved by a low flow rate in the riser ($U_{sg} < 0.05$ m/s) and a riser-downcomer headspace, which facilitates the disengagement of air bubbles. In this case, $V_L$ in the downcomer is lower than the bubble terminal velocity. This condition renders an airlift reactor an alternating anoxic-aerobic system, creating multi-environments which support diversified microbial communities (Andersen et al., 2013, Colares & Melo, 2013, Duan et al., 2013), enhancing COD as well as nutrient removal (Hocaoglu et al., 2011).

For nitrogen removal, complete nitrification ($\text{NH}_4^+ + \text{AOB} \rightarrow \text{NO}_2^- + \text{NOB} \rightarrow \text{NO}_3^-$) is neither necessary nor energy-efficient. The formation of nitrite by ammonia oxidising bacteria (AOB) is of significance and should be maximised, whilst the formation of nitrate by nitrite oxidising bacteria (NOB) should be minimised. This approach (Picireanu et al., 1996) will:

1. save energy due to lower oxygen requirement in the aerobic zone;
2. require a lesser amount of electron donor (COD) in the anoxic zone;
3. make the denitrification rate faster because the nitrite denitrification rate is 1.5 to 2 times higher than that of nitrate.

Practically, $\text{NH}_4^+$ conversion to 50% maximum $\text{NO}_2^-$ and 50% minimum $\text{NO}_3^-$ was achieved with controlled oxygen concentration of 1–2 mg/L in the aerobic zone (Garrido et al., 1997). This dissolved oxygen level is significantly lower than that which is usually used to ensure full ammonia nitrification (up to 7.5 mg/L).

**Membrane bioreactors and hybrid membrane bioreactors**

Submerged membrane bioreactors represent a well-established proven wastewater treatment technology. Numerous research works have been published over the last two decades about every aspect of this topic. Among the most successful submerged membrane commercial units are the ultra-filtration...
ZeeWeed® hollow-fiber range with nominal molecular weight cut-off of 200 kDalton (Zenon Environmental Inc., Burlington, Canada) and the microfiltration Kubota flat-sheet range with a nominal pore size of 0.4 μm (Kubota Corp., Japan) (Tchobanoglous et al., 2003). Scaled down versions of these units were used in some eminent researches (inter alia: Cote et al., 1997, Chua et al., 2004, Sofia et al., 2004).

The concept of a hybrid biofilm membrane bioreactor was introduced in 2006 by Leiknes et al. (2006) in the hope of reducing membrane fouling by high biomass concentrations. It consisted of an upstream moving-bed biofilm reactor and a downstream submerged membrane unit (MBMBR). It was claimed that this arrangement could be designed to accept high particulate as well as soluble organic load (Leiknes & Ødegård, 2007). Succeeding research works revealed that the microbial spectrum in a hybrid biofilm membrane bioreactor was significantly different from that in a conventional membrane bioreactor (MBR) (Yang et al., 2009). filamentous bacteria, protozoa like Ciliates, Vorticella, Arnoebae, as well as metazoans including Rotifers and Nematodes were far more abundant in the hybrid reactor than the conventional membrane reactor. Yang et al. (2009) concluded that the microbial multifariousness was considerably richer in the hybrid MBMBR than that in a conventional MBR. The overgrowth of filamentous bacteria inhabiting the biofilm and sludge suspension in the hybrid MBMBR resulted in a thick and dense cake layer on the membrane surface. This led to more severe membrane fouling in the hybrid reactor as compared with the conventional one. Zhu et al. (2015) confirmed that filamentous bacteria were important components for biofilm formation and development, becoming dominant in a mature biofilm. They include Sphaerotilus, Haliscomenobacter, and Actinobacteria. However, other filamentous bacterial species are known to cause foaming and bulking, like Microthrix parvicella and Pseudomonas aeruginosa (Neis et al., 2012). Therefore, the overgrowth of filamentous bacteria, in general, needs to be controlled to safeguard the bioprocess and the filtration membrane. Additionally, the microbial community composition of the biofilm was demonstrated to be related to the FR of carriers, e.g., 30% FR showed higher diversity of nitrifying bacteria, leading to higher ratios of nitrogen removal as compared with 10% FR (Calderon et al., 2012). No significant differences of the microbial composition were observed in the same study due to the type of carrier used.

In the development of the proposed hybrid bioreactor conceptual design in this study, both an anoxic circulating bed part and an aerobic submerged membrane part were connected in series in an A/O arrangement to utilise both attached and suspended biomass for organic carbon and nitrogen removal. No external mixing provision shall be required for the anoxic zone of the circulating bed part. The functionality of the proposed bioreactor rests on the described design as well as the given initial experimental tuning phase.

Conceptual design and methodology

Architecture of the proposed pilot circulating bed-membrane hybrid bioreactor

The proposed hybrid bioreactor is in the form of a rectangular container with overall dimensions of 5 x 4.3 x 3 m (L x W x H). This structure is to be divided into two equal compartments each with a nominal effective volume of 29 m³. The upstream circulating bed compartment (CBC) shall in turn be divided into two equal sections with a 2-m-long vertical baffle to be positioned with its upper edge 0.4 m below the liquid level and its lower edge 0.3 m above the reactor’s bottom. One of the two sections shall be equipped with a coarse air sparger in the form of a perforated pipe-network, making it the riser. The air sparger is to be positioned initially 0.5 m above the reactor’s bottom (0.2 m above the baffle’s lower edge). This arrangement will aerate only 2.2 m of the total circulating bed cycle path of 5.4 m (40%). The riser-downcomer headspace shall be 2.5 x 4.3 m, which is conducive to air bubble disengagement. Raw sewage flows into the reactor at the top of the downcomer and outflows the CBC to the adjacent downstream submerged membrane compartment (SMC) via a suitable mesh at the top of the riser. The SMC shall house four flat-sheet ES-100 Kubota microfiltration single-deck units. Each unit consists of a membrane case on top of a diffuser case. The
membrane case contains 100 flat-sheet cartridges, each with 0.8 m² microfiltration area (two sides). Therefore, the total filtration area of the submerged membrane compartment shall be 320 m². The diffuser case houses at its bottom an air pipe, running the length of the unit, with ten lateral 10-mm branches. Each lateral branch contains five 6-mm holes. Hence, the diffuser is a coarse air-bubble one providing the necessary air to create the up-flow through the membrane case (to maintain the functionality of the membranes) as well as the dissolved oxygen required for the aerobic condition in this compartment. The positioning of the aforementioned four ES-100 units and the overall dimensions of the SMC are according to the minimum dimensions stipulated by Kubota Manual (Kubota Corp.). Provision shall also be made to return mixed liquor from the SMC to the top of the downcomer section of the CBC at a maximum flow rate of three times the reactor’s inflow rate. A side stream of this return flow will be sonicated to control the overgrowth of filamentous bacteria. Additionally mixed liquor shall be wasted from both compartments to control SRT at a desired value. Figure 1 shows a schematic top-view of the proposed reactor (A) and a schematic side-view of it (B).

Fig. 1
Schematic diagram of the proposed bioreactor; (A) Top-view, (B) Side-view
Estimation of $V_L$ in the CBC of the proposed bioreactor

Following the specification of the architectural particulars of the proposed bioreactor, the important parameter $V_L$ can now be estimated by using the two models represented by Eqs. (4), (5), and (8). Initially, $K_0$, value needed in both models must be determined. Applying Eq. (3) and noting that $A_s = 1.25 \times 4.3$ m and $A_b = 0.3 \times 4.3$ m, $K_b = 35$. Also, for $\epsilon$, in Eq. (4), the value of $\epsilon_0$ from Eq. (1) will be used, $m = 0.5$ in Eq. (8), and $h_0 = 2.2$ m assuming no significant difference between the dispersion height and the liquid height above the air sparger in the riser due to the very low air flow rate ($U_{sg} < 0.05$ m/s, bubble regime). The values shown in Table 1 are consequently obtained.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$U_{sg}$ (m/s)</th>
<th>$V_L$ (m/s) Eqs. (4) and (5)</th>
<th>$V_L$ (m/s) Eq.(8)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>0.219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.277</td>
<td>0.279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.347</td>
<td>0.321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.411</td>
<td>0.355</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The similarity of $V_L$ values from the two models was expected because both apply to the same regime and similar systems. However, Eq. (8) gives lower $V_L$ values as $U_{sg}$ increases since it is relevant to 3-phase aqueous systems whereas Eqs. (4) and (5) are relevant to an air-water system. The actual $V_L$ values in the proposed bioreactor are expected to be lower than the values given in Table 1 primarily due to the non-Newtonian (pseudoplastic) nature of the mixed liquor with an apparent viscosity significantly higher than the viscosity of water or aqueous solutions (Hasar et al., 2004, Tang et al., 2015).

Type and filling ratio (FR) of biomass carriers in the CBC of the proposed bioreactor

Since the advent of moving bed biofilm reactors (MBBR), many biomass carriers of various designs and materials have been developed and used in lab/industrial-scale bioreactors. Foremost of these carriers are the previously mentioned AnoxKladnes K1 and polyurethane foam cubes 1–2 cm in size. The K1 carrier is made of high-density polyethylene with actual and bulk densities of 0.95 g/cm$^3$ and 150 kg/m$^3$, respectively. It has a cylindrical shape with a nominal diameter and a length of 9 mm and 7 mm, respectively. It contains a cross inside the cylinder and longitudinal fins around its outer perimeter (Rusten et al. 2006). For lab-scale MBBRs, the maximum K1 FR used is 67–70% (v/v), and for industrial-scale MBBRs, it is less than 50% (e.g., 46% in 130 m$^3$ MBBR) (Pal et al., 2013). Each percentage point K1 FR (v/v) contributes a 5 m$^2$ effective surface area for microbial film growth per m$^3$ of reactor volume (e.g., for FR = 60%, the effective area will be 300 m$^2$/m$^3$). Polyurethane foam cubes (PFC) have a much lower bulk density of 25–30 kg/m$^3$ and a very high porosity of 90% or more with an average pore size of 1.0–1.5 mm. Its specific surface area is 900–1120 m$^2$/m$^3$. Therefore, its FR is considerably less than that of the K1 carrier; a maximum of 40% [7–9]. Additionally, PFC can be modified in two ways: by coating it with activated carbon to increase its specific surface area to 35,000 m$^2$/m$^3$ (1.3 cm cubes) (Lee et al., 2006) making it hydrophilic-cationic to achieve faster water immersion and increase its affinity for microbial film attachment (Chu et al., 2014).

The planned experimental tuning phase of the proposed bioreactor will include testing K1 and PFC carriers in the CBC. For each carrier type, it is envisaged to start the test with 5% FR, following careful cultivation and maturing of the microbial biofilm in a batch-mode with real domestic sewage over a period of 45 days. This period would ensure the formation and development of the microbial biofilm in its four stages; namely, initial attachment, accumulation, sloughing and updating, and finally maturation (Zhu et al., 2015, Di Trapani et al., 2014). The 5% FR shall then be increased stepwise to 10%, 15%, etc. whilst maintaining the bubble regime in the riser ($U_{sg} < 0.05$ m/s) throughout. The objective is to obtain the maximum practicable FR while maintaining functional liquid circulation in order to minimise the thickness of the microbial biofilm on the carrier [8]. A biofilm thickness of 100–200 μm.
is generally considered to be acceptable for oxygen, organic matter, and nutrient diffusion (Nogueira et al., 1998).

Details of the riser’s air sparger

The main air pipe shall be of 4-inch size (100 mm) to be placed horizontally on top of the bioreactor running along the 4.3-m dimension. This pipe shall have five 1-inch size (25 mm) branches going vertically down along the riser’s side to the specified location (0.5 m above the reactor’s bottom) where the branches turn horizontal over the riser’s 1.25-m dimension. Centre-line distance between any two neighbouring branches shall be about 0.7 m and each branch shall have in its horizontal run six holes 6-mm in diameter pointing downward with about 0.18 m between any two neighbouring holes. All pipes shall be of plastic material and each branch in its vertical run shall be provided with couplings and short pipe sections to facilitate changing the location of the sparger with respect to the reactor’s bottom in order to vary the dispersion height $h_D$ as required to obtain the desirable liquid circulation during the experimental tuning phase. This arrangement will allow the variation of the ratio of oxic/anoxic zones in the CBC.

Basis for specifying four ES-100 microfiltration units in the SMC

There are two main aspects to the choice of four ES-100 microfiltration units for the SMC of the proposed bioreactor. The first aspect is related to the previously mentioned 320 m$^2$ filtration area which in turn determines the permeate flux value at 15.625 L/(h. m$^2$) (LMH) for 120 m$^3$/d of sewage flow. It is well known that the permeate flux value is the most significant parameter affecting the membrane fouling rate. The concept of critical flux, introduced by Field et al. (1995), is a widely used standard in this regard. It is defined as the highest flux for which transmembrane pressure (TMP) remains stable and is dependent on the mixed liquor characteristics, the membrane material, as well as the system’s hydrodynamics. A permeate flux value below the critical flux (subcritical flux) limits membrane fouling and extends operational periods. This extension is obviously a function of the ratio of actual to critical fluxes. It is also obvious that a lower permeate flux entails a high filtration area (higher investment and fixed cost); ultimately, a compromise must be struck between these two conflicting parameters.

Table 2 lists values of permeate flux through Kubota microfiltration membranes along with their relevant details and references.

It should be noted that the permeate flux values of Table 2 are all in conjunction with evenly distributed air diffusion within the range 0.75-1.125 m$^3$ air per hour per m$^2$ filtration area according to Kubota’s specifications (Kubot Corp., 2002), in order to scour the membranes. This air scouring would make the biofilm covering the membrane’s surface only a few micrometres thick, with a TMP of about 5 kPa during normal operation rising gradually to 14 kPa prior to cleaning (3 to 6 months) (Trivedi, 2004).

A rough estimate of the critical flux in the submerged membrane compartment of the proposed bioreactor can be obtained from the empirical model of Verrecht et al. (2009), based on the data from pilot-scale units employing flat-sheet membranes with three-monthly chemical cleaning:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flux (LMH)</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>Lab-scale (9 liter), raw domestic sewage</td>
<td>[27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.625</td>
<td>30 m$^3$/d kitchen wastewater (BOD=300 mg/L), Kubota Hanshin Office, Japan</td>
<td>[43]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Enviroquip Inc. (USA), recommended</td>
<td>[44]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Hirakata, Japan, sewage of apartment complex</td>
<td>[44]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Pilot-scale, primary effluent of wastewater treatment plant</td>
<td>[45]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Pilot-scale (1.2 m$^3$) MBR, raw domestic sewage</td>
<td>[46]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
where
\[ J_{\text{crit},20} = 391.71 \ U + 11.783 \quad (R^2 = 0.8987) \]  

Applying this model to the ES-100 unit with an air diffusion rate within the previously mentioned range of 0.75–1.125 m³ air per hour per m² filtration area results in 22 ≤ \( J_{\text{crit},20} \) ≤ 27 LMH. These values are most likely an underestimate; nevertheless, the specified permeate flux value of 15.625 LMH, which is the minimum in Table 2, should be well below the actual critical flux value of the ES-100 unit. It is also clear from Eq. (9) that when one ES-100 unit is taken out of service for maintenance, the rate of air diffusion should be maximised to the other three units to keep the permeate flux well below the critical value.

The second aspect for the choice of four ES-100 units is related to operation and maintenance. Operation may include a relax-mode; 9- min “on”, 1-min “off” cycles (Trussell et al., 2006), cleaning-in-place or complete removal of one ES-100 unit for maintenance. This would increase the flux to a value of 20.8 LMH for a limited period of time. Operation and maintenance are always problematic in developing countries; they need to be considered carefully. Breakdown maintenance is the norm whereas scheduled preventive maintenance is the exception. A case in point is adopting pumped permeate flow for the proposed bioreactor instead of gravity flow in spite of the latter’s simplicity. Operators can simply increase the vacuum (or TMP) across the membrane to maintain the specified permeate flow until a problem is solved.

### Operating parameters of the proposed bioreactor

#### Hydraulic retention time (HRT)

The overall average HRT of the proposed bioreactor is about 11 hours, split in the middle between the circulating bed and the submerged membrane compartments with 5.5 hours each. The initial set-up of the bioreactor shall have 30% of its HRT as anoxic (3.3 hours within the CBC) and 70% as oxic (7.7 hours, 2.2 hours within the CBC and 5.5 hours within the SMC). To appreciate the specified HRT value and its breakdown, a short review of HRT values in circulating bed and submerged membrane bioreactors is in order.

The previously mentioned Circox® airlift bioreactor with an integrated denitrification section (Frijters et al., 1997) had an HRT of 2 hours when treating municipal wastewater (67% domestic sewage and 33% industrial wastewater). The anoxic denitrification section volume constituted 34% of the reactor’s total volume; however, its retention time was only 8 minutes. The circulating bed bioreactor of Lazarove et al. (1997) had a 0.6 ≤ HRT ≤ 4 hours when treating pre-settled municipal wastewater. It had no anoxic denitrification section, so for this purpose it was coupled with a floating bed denitrification reactor.

HRT values of aerobic submerged membrane bioreactors treating municipal wastewater extend over a wide range, e.g., 1.5 hours (Trussell et al., 2005) to 16 hours (Yoon et al., 2004). Generally, low values of HRT are employed for COD removal only (high-loading rates) and high HRT values are used for COD removal plus nitrification/denitrification (usually associated with long SRTs, e.g., 30–40 days). Bioreactors employing Kubota flat-sheet microfiltration membranes treating domestic sewage/kitchen wastewater have HRT values within the very narrow range of 7.2–7.92 hours (Kubota Corp., 2002, Trivedi, 2004, Verrecht et al., 2009, Gander et al., 2000).

Mixed liquor suspended solids (MLSS) concentration and solids retention time (SRT)

The proposed hybrid bioreactor shall have attached and suspended biomass in the CBC, but only suspended biomass in the SMC. Readily biodegradable constituents of the wastewater shall be mainly removed by the attached biomass whilst particulate organic matter shall be mainly removed by the suspended biomass (Leiknes et al., 2007). The concentration of the attached biomass will be established following the initial experimental tuning phase; being a function of the carriers’ type and FR as well as other operating conditions. MLSS
concentration in the SMC shall be controlled within the range 8–10 g/L through wasting an appropriate amount of mixed liquor periodically. The aforementioned MLSS concentration range is optimum due to a number of factors, such as liquor’s viscosity affecting oxygen dissolution, membrane TMP and fouling, etc. (Field et al., 1995, Trivedi, 2004, Verrecht et al., 2009). Relatively long SRT values are required for the suspended biomass to facilitate the development of nitrifying bacteria (SRT ≥ 20 days).

Profile of dissolved oxygen (DO) values in the proposed hybrid bioreactor

The average DO value in the SMC will be determined by the previously mentioned air diffusion rate for membrane scouring. It is anticipated to be more than 2.0 mg/L, which would ensure the removal of COD as well as nitrification of the wastewater in this compartment considering its HRT (Wang et al., 2006).

Two DO probes shall be placed in the CBC during the initial tuning experimental phase. One probe shall be positioned at the top of the riser to indicate the maximum DO value in this compartment whilst the other probe shall be positioned immediately below the air sparger, also in the riser, to indicate the minimum DO value.

The experimental phase shall have as one of its objectives controlling the upper DO probe indication at a value within the range 1–2 mg/L since an oversupply of oxygen can lead to poor denitrification in the anoxic zone. Simultaneously, the lower DO probe indication value should be as much below 1.0 mg/L as practically possible (Leyva-Diaz et al., 2013).

Conclusion

In this article, the developed conceptual design of a pilot-scale hybrid bioreactor for the treatment of 120 m³/day of domestic sewage was presented. The bioreactor consists of two compartments: an upstream mainly anoxic CBC and a downstream oxic SMC. The two compartments have the same nominal effective volume of 29 m³ each, making the bioreactor’s nominal average HRT 11 hours; 5.5 hours in each compartment.

The CBC is split by a vertical baffle into two equal sections: a riser and a downcomer. Mixed liquor shall be recirculated from the SMC to the top of the downcomer of the CBC to effect the bioreactor’s A/O arrangement for denitrification. Part of this recirculated flow shall be sonicated to control the overgrowth of filamentous bacteria.

An experimental tuning phase programme will be carried out following implementation to optimise the functionality of the CBC with respect to the type and FR of the biomass carriers, the liquid circulation velocity, and the DO profile to maximise denitrification.
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Pilotinio cirkuliacinio membraninio bioreaktoriaus, skirto srutų valymui, modelio kūrimas
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Populiacijos didėjimas besivystančiose šalyse veda prie esamų nuotekų valymo įrenginių perkrovos. Be to, priemiestiniai gyvenamieji rajonai dėl įvairių priežasčių kartais yra pastatomi ir apgyvendinami anksčiau nei įrengiami nuotekų valymo įrenginiai. Dėl šios priežasties yra poreikis vietiniams, moduliniams įrenginiams, kurie būtų tvirti ir reikalautų minimalios priežiūros. Šiame tyrime yra nagrinėjamas įrenginio, skirto išvalyti 120 m$^3$ nuotekų per dieną, hibridinio bioreaktoriaus koncepcinis modelis. Siūlomas bioreaktorius susideda iš priešsrovinio cirkuliacinio sluoksnio kameros (CSK) su plastikiniais bioplėvelės nešėjais ir iš pasroviui tekančios mikrofiltracijos membranos kameros (MMK). Kiekvienas iš šių dviejų kamerų turi 29 m$^3$ nominalų tūrį. Cirkuliacinio sluoksnio kamera daugiausiai yra anoksiška, kai tuo tarpu mikrofiltracijos membranos kamera yra aerobinė (oksiška), sukurią reaktoriuje A/O sąlygas. Diegiant pilotinį įrenginį, numatoma pradinė eksperimentinė fazė, skirta reaktoriui sureguliuoti, atsižvelgiant į funkcionalumą ir maksimalų azoto šalinimą. Šio derinimo etapo detalės taip pat yra aprašomos šiame straipsnyje.
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