



Changes of Compositional Features of Church Villages in Rural Landscape

Vilma Karvelytė-Balbierienė

Faculty of Civil Engineering and Architecture, Kaunas University of Technology,

(received in May, 2010, accepted in June, 2010)

Church villages form a significant part in the urban framework of Lithuania and they are inseparable components of the cultural landscape. Beginning with the end of the 18th century church villages had fully functioned together with towns and village settlements of the country. Their functional development and formation of the urban structure are closely related with the expansion of Christianity in Lithuania. Formation of compositional links between church villages and the rural landscape was mainly influenced by their urban structure determined by the canons of the Catholic Church. The network of church villages had determined the cultural distinctiveness of Lithuanian rural landscape till the middle of the 20th century. Considering that the preservation of identity and distinctiveness of Lithuanian countryside requires the analysis of church villages and their role in the country's landscape, this article analyzes the changes in compositional features of church villages in the spatial structure of the rural landscapes and the factors determining these changes. In order to perceive deeper their compositional features of the spatial structure in the ethno-cultural landscape, assessment of the aesthetic potential of their layout and spatial structure is also presented.

Keywords: *church villages, rural landscape, panorama, layout, spatial structure.*

1. Introduction

Church spires and abundant greenery of churchyards constituted the visual landmarks and the network of vertical elements carrying the spiritual and religious sense in Lithuanian rural landscape till the middle of the 20th century. Formation of compositional structures of church villages was mainly determined by the surrounding natural environment and the character of their urban structure (layout type, buildings, and green structures). Beginning with the middle of the 20th century traditional priority towards residential and religious functions in church villages changed with the growing interests of production and commerce. Large open spaces and engineering-industrial installations of collective farms were formed in the rural landscape. After Restoration of the Independence, Lithuanian rural landscape and its historical structures have been undergoing further visual changes.

This article aims to assess compositional features of church villages as important components of rural landscapes of the country and their changes.

To assess the significance of church villages in the visual structure of Lithuanian rural landscape, the following tasks have been carried out:

- analysis of the characteristic compositional features of church villages and their changes in a spatial structure of the rural landscape of the country;
- analysis of the factors determining the formation and development of church villages compositional structure;
- determination of aesthetic potential of the layout and spatial structure of church villages.

Methodology of the research. Compositional features of church villages in the spatial structure of the rural landscape and the influence of the anthropogeneous objects on the compositional structure and their silhouette have been analyzed on the basis of existing methodologies of landscape assessment (P. Кудиркене, 1973; J. Bučas, 1980, 1989; M. Purvinas, 1983; F. Bielinskis, 1966; A. Basalykas, 1977; A.R. Budriūnas, K. Ėringis, 2000, A. Lamauskas, J. Bučas, L. Drignelis, 2003; Lietuvos

kraštovtarka, 2003-2005, N. A. Salingaros, 2005). Evaluation of a negative visual influence of engineering installations on the compositional structure of church villages is based on the analysis of the design principles of engineering systems in reconstructed historical and newly created agricultural settlements of the Soviet period collective farms. Analysis is based on numerous scientific researches carried out by researchers of the Institute of Architecture and Construction (Pasiūlymų ruošimas Lietuvos TSR kaimo ..., 1969, 1970; Rekomendacijos dėl rajono išplanavimo ..., 1973; LTSR mažų miestelių architektūrinia..., 1975) and the schemes and data of the analyses in situ of separate church villages and other data from the analyses in situ (Lietuvos kraštovtarka, 2001- 2005).

To determine characteristic features of compositional structure of church villages and to assess their changes, two main analysis periods are distinguished:

Period I up to 1940. Development of characteristic compositional structures of church villages in the landscape and their features

Period II beginning with 1941. Changes in compositional structures in the rural landscape of church villages.

Relations of church villages with the surrounding environment in the spatial structure of the rural landscape are defined by the character and changes of their urban structure and by the compositional links of these settlements with the natural environment. To evaluate more precisely the visual characteristics in the rural landscape of church villages with various alterations of the urban structures, the methodology developed by N. A. Salingaros (2005) has been selected. This methodology is applied to assessing aesthetic potential of the layout and spatial structure of church villages and to analyzing the compositional features of their plan and silhouette and their components based on:

- layout structure (radial, linear, steadying, irregular, mixed);
- types of compositional structure of the silhouette;
- historical period: in the rural landscape formed up to 1940; during the period of the Soviet occupation (1941 - 1989); after Restoration of Independence of the country (beginning with 1990).

Extent of the research. Analysis of the compositional features of church villages is based on the following criteria:

- zones of the specific ethnoarchitecture (Suvalkija, Aukštaitija, Dzūkija, Žemaitija, Coastal region);
- areas of the accumulation of culturally valuable properties (based on the Register of Culturally Valuable Properties);
- territorial rudiments of historical types of the rural landscape determined by J. Bučas (1989).

Comprehensive assessment of compositional features of church villages is possible only after the analysis of all of them existing in the present territory of Lithuania. 91 church villages are identified in the territories of Kaunas, Alytus, and Marijampolė regions: 39 - in Kaunas region, 24 - in Alytus region, and 28 - in Marijampolė region. Church villages analysis was accomplished in situ in the period between 2000 and 2008; 92% of them being in Kaunas region, 71 % - in Alytus region, and 40 % -in Marijampolė region (Fig.1). The pilot observation has been carried out in all church villages of Kaunas, Alytus, and Marijampolė regions.




Fig.1. Extent of the analysis of church villages in situ (based on the reports of the culturological analysis of church villages of 2000-2008)

2. Role of church villages in the visual structure of Lithuanian rural landscape up to the middle of the 20th century

Up to the middle of the 20th century the central parts of 45 % of the analyzed church villages had significantly evolved and were similar to the centers of towns (Lietuvos kraštovtarka 2001-2005). Compositional links with the surrounding landscape of these church villages are similar to those of towns. For example, towers of churches and abundant churchyard greenery of church villages of Šilavotas, Gižai, Gudeliai, Kalviai, Lesčiai, Ūdrija, and Apytalaukis constitute the landmarks in panoramas of the rural landscape (Fig.2). The radius of their visual influence zone may reach 4.5 km depending on the terrain character.

Around 40% of church villages do not constitute any expressive accents in the rural landscape (Lietuvos kraštovtarka ..., 2001-2005). Wooden or masonry churches of moderate height were built in Šventybrastis, Kulva, Panevėžiukas, Anciškis, Vosyliškis, and Alovė. Towers of churches are not visible through the abundant churchyard greenery.

The compositional expression in the landscape of these settlements is strongly influenced by the character of the terrain.

Features of the natural environment, such as character of the terrain, water bodies and forests often have an impact on the compositional expression in the

landscape of church villages. Flat or hilly terrain can highlight or diminish their compositional features. In many cases the forested areas neutralize the settlement silhouette (Gegužinė, Gerdašiai, Braziūkai, Rudnia) (Fig.3).



Fig.2. Panorama of Šilavotas church village (Prienai district) (photograph by V. Karvelytė Balbierienė) 2007)



Fig.3. Silhouette of Gegužinė church village (Kaišiadoriai district) in the forested area (photograph by V. Karvelytė Balbierienė, 2007)

Distinctive silhouettes of church villages had evolved in the river valleys. Churches were usually built in the expressive scenic environment in the period of Romanticism in the first half of the 20th century (Ugioniai, Apytalaukis, Pajevonys). In such cases the compositional expression of a church village was deliberately formed in the landscape (Fig.4).



Fig.4. Panorama of Ugoniai church village (Raseiniai district) near the valley of the Dubysa River (photograph by V. Karvelytė Balbierienė, 2007)

Analysis of church village compositional features in the rural landscape has revealed that the links of the urban structure of these settlements with the features of the natural environment result in

characteristic landscape panoramas. Analysis of the compositional features of these panoramas has demonstrated that church villages constituted distinctive elements in the rural landscape up to the middle of the 20th century and their compositional features reminded more of towns than village settlements.

After the analysis of compositional structure of church village silhouettes, two distinctive types of their silhouettes formed up to the middle of the 20th century are distinguished (Fig.5). Silhouette of **type A** is characteristic of church villages which had the status of town in the past and of the settlements with the developed radial layout. The terrain features had strongly influenced the compositional expression in the landscape of these settlements. Silhouette of **type B** is characteristic of church villages of the inter-war period and of other settlements with religious function. Churchyard greenery usually dominates smooth silhouette of these settlements. Church villages of this type enjoy either radial or linear layout (Fig.5). Church villages which started developing later in the inter-war period, also possess similar compositional features. For example, Sutkai, Pažėrai, Gudžiūnai, Pajieslys, Kučiūnai, Kazliškis have less developed layout structures and compositional features of their silhouettes are similar to the village settlements.




Fig.5. Types of compositional structures of the silhouettes of church villages developed up to the middle of the 20th century

3. Role of church villages in the contemporary Lithuanian landscape

Beginning with the middle of the 20th century, the changes in a spatial structure and visual significance of church villages were determined by development of the engineering systems, new modes of agricultural production, and new quotidian and cultural needs of the increasing number of residents. In order to adapt the historical urban structure of traditional rural settlements to new functions, comprehensive researches on development of the layout and spatial structure and on the needs of services were carried out and the proposals for the further urban and functional development of these settlements were prepared (Pasiūlymų ruošimas Lietuvos TSR kaimo ..., 1969, 1970; Rekomendacijos dėl rajono išplanavimo ..., 1973; LTSR mažų miestų ..., 1975). These researches and proposals were aimed at:

- sustaining the scenic panoramas of settlements;
- creating the optimal links between residential and production zones;
- separating the residential zone from the intensive use roads.

Church villages were reconstructed into the settlements of collective farms. The visual role in the landscape, the extent of cultural heritage, and the proportion of extant characteristic compositional features strongly depended on the administrative type of settlements, i.e. central, satellite, and non-

perspective. A great quantity of new anthropogeneous objects emerged in church villages which were attributed to the category of central settlements of collective farms. Meanwhile, church villages which were attributed to the group of non-perspective settlements retained the majority of their authentic features. To understand better the changes in church village of the Soviet period, characteristic types of changes in their silhouettes were distinguished (Fig.7).

Changes in compositional structures of church village silhouettes of **type A** are minimal. The panoramas of these settlements have retained the authentic traditional composition, which evolved up to the middle of the 20th century. Main landmarks in the silhouettes of these settlements still are church towers or abundant churchyard greenery, whereas 26 % of the analyzed church villages have retained them.

Changes in compositional structure of the silhouettes of **type B** had partially altered the traditional ones of church villages. The silhouettes of 29 % of the analyzed church villages of Kaunas, Alytus, and Marijampolė regions were complemented with new anthropogeneous elements contrasting with the structures of traditional architecture. Engineering installations, blocks of flats, buildings of public institutions became new visual accents and fractured traditional church villages silhouettes with their volumes and colours.

Church villages attributed to **type C** had undergone the major changes in compositional structures of the silhouette. 45 % of them of Kaunas, Alytus, and Marijampolė regions lost their organic links with the surrounding landscape. Traditional silhouettes of these settlements are strongly altered by visually active unattractive forms of farming and production buildings and engineering equipment, which had emerged alongside church towers and churchyard greenery. New anthropogeneous accents do not fit into the traditional compositional structure of church villages and form chaotic silhouettes.

Analysis of the characteristic compositional features of church villages and their changes allows concluding that new anthropogeneous elements had mainly a negative influence on the composition of church village silhouettes. New objects of large

volumes built in them and in their surrounding environment at the beginning of the middle of the 20th century fractured the historical compact built-up structure of these settlements. These changes affected the compositional links with the surrounding environment of approximately 60 – 74 % of the analyzed church villages of Kaunas, Alytus, and Marijampolė regions. New anthropogeneous accents with the productive functions have emerged in the compositional structure of these settlements and have diminished the significance of the landmarks reflecting social (residential) and religious functions. Engineering installations and masonry buildings of large volumes built of silicate bricks currently dominate in historical church village silhouettes (Fig. 6).



Fig.6. Volumes of the buildings of public and productive functions built in [Darsūniškis](#) church village (Kaišiadoriai district) in the second half of the 20th century transformed compositional expression in the landscape. New elements form the silhouette of a scattered structure (photograph by V. Karvelytė Balbierienė, 2009)

4. Assessment of aesthetic potential of layout and spatial structure of church villages

To assess objectively and to compare the compositional characteristics in the rural landscape of traditional urban structures of church villages formed up to the middle of the 20th century and the quality of changes in their urban structure reconstructed during the period of the Soviet occupation, it has been selected the theory of a complex architectural form developed by N. A. Salingaros (2005) based on the works of Christopher Alexander, on the models of informative visual perception and visual construction, and on the mathematical models. The criterion of the assessment of the complex architectural environment is perception of its structure as a whole and psychological and informative acceptability or non-acceptability. General model of the assessment of aesthetic potential of the layout and spatial structure of church villages is presented in Figure 8. Application of the above described methodology to the assessment of aesthetic potential of the layout and spatial structure of church villages is based on the quantity of visual information:

- the environment should provide sufficient quantity of information:
 - A certain detailed curvilinear zone of clear contrast must exist in the field of visual perception.
 - Flat structures can contribute to the perception of the contrast and the details of

the environment only in those cases when they have a clearly expressed center or boundaries.

- the environment should not provide the overflow of information:
 - Visual information should be related to the clear compositional line (lines).
 - Symmetry and models (generalized typical models of structuring the information formed by culture and the collective subconsciousness are also related to the proper organization of the information) allow organizing even abundant visual information without overloading the spectator.
- in both cases:
 - Colour is an essential element of the visual environment: it does not only increase diversity of the environment but also allows grouping the elements in the environment.

Aesthetic potential of the layout and spatial structure of church villages is assessed using the methodology by N. A. Salingaros (2005) taking into account the layout type (radial, linear, steady, irregular, mixed) and the character of its changes (extant (authentic), partially altered, reconstructed). The methodology, in addition to allowing comparison of aesthetic potential of different layout structures and their silhouettes (C), make it possible to evaluate visual-aesthetic characteristics of the separate elements of the layout and silhouette:

- degree of complexity, diversity, and information;
- harmony and symmetry (define compatibility of the objects in the composition);
- interest of the structure
- disorder of the structure;
- general aesthetic potential of the structure.




Fig.7. Scheme of the changes in the urban structure of church villages based on the analysis of the elements of the layout and of changes in the silhouette beginning with the middle of the 20th century

Assessment of aesthetic potential of the layout and spatial structure of church villages is based on the idea of the proper organization of the information, i.e. the field of visual observation should constitute an integral, hierarchical compositional-informative entity. This can be achieved by a proper scale of the parts of the whole composition (Fig.9). Aesthetic potential of the layout and spatial structure of church

villages has been assessed in two stages: aesthetic potential of the layout structure (for example, the radial plan) of church village is determined estimating the degrees of information (T) and harmony (H) of separate structural elements; while aesthetic potential of the silhouette structure of the same church village has been also assessed (Fig.9).




Fig. 8. General scheme of the model of assessment of aesthetic potential of the layout and spatial structure of church villages (scheme by V. Karvelytė Balbierienė with reference to N. A. Salingaros (2005))




Fig.9. Model of assessment of aesthetic potential of the layout and spatial structure of church villages is based on methodology by N. A. Salingaros (scheme by V. Karvelytė Balbierienė with reference to N. A. Salingaros (2005), photographs by V. Karvelytė Balbierienė, www.maps.lt)

Aesthetic-informative-emotional attraction of church villages compositions has been mathematically estimated applying methodology by N. A. Salingaros

(2005). Optimality from the perceptive, aesthetic, and informative points of view of the composition of the structure of the church village layout and silhouette

depends on the relation of the two compositional features of the structure: **T** demonstrates the degree of complexity, diversity, information of composition. **T** value varies from 0 to 10 and is determined evaluating five aspects of the complex structure:

- size of details (the smaller details get the lower value) and their activity in the whole composition (from 0 to 2);
- density of differences and diversity (from 0 to 2);
- curvature of lines (from 0 to 2);
- intensity of colours (from 0 to 2);
- contrast of colours (from 0 to 2).

Value **T** close to 10 demonstrates that the composition of the structure is complex and diverse. **H** (harmony) demonstrates correlation of the objects in the composition and degree of the symmetry in the model. Value **H** varies from 0 to 10 and is determined evaluating five forms of symmetry in the scale from 0 (non-existing) to 2 (fully expressed):

- vertical and horizontal reflection (from 0 to 2);
- shifts and rotations (from 0 to 2);
- similarity of forms (transformation, magnification and reduction) (from 0 to 2);
- conformity of colors (from 0 to 2);
- “physical” contact of forms (merging, overlapping) (from 0 to 2).

Value **H** close to 10 demonstrates that the composition of the structure is harmonious and in coherence with the other elements of the environment.

N. A. Salingeras (2005) proposes two composite indicators for the comparison of the optimality of the visually perceived structures:

L – interest of the structure:

$$L = T * H \quad (1)$$

S – expression of the disorder in the structure, **Hmax** - constant to a certain type of the structure, **H** - degree of harmony of the structure (the lower the degree of harmony of a structure in consideration compared to the maximum **H** value (**Hmax**) of this type of the structure, the more chaotic the structure is).

$$S = Hmax - H \quad (2)$$

where:

$$C = T * S \quad (3)$$

from here

$$C = T (Hmax - H) \quad (4)$$

The relation between **L** and **C** of the structures under consideration demonstrates a certain reverse correlation. The analysis of various relations between complexity (**C**) and attractiveness (**L**) allows distinguishing the optimal structure for visual perception. The optimal composition should not be

too harmonious or too chaotic and must provide the optimal quantity of information.

Aesthetic potential of the layout and silhouettes of church villages (**C**) varies from 1 to 100 degrees. If **C** (3) is higher than 50, the structure is complex, diverse and informative; if **C** (3) is lower than 50 the structure is smooth and even. The optimal aesthetic potential is around 50 (this proportion should be applied to all the indicators of aesthetic potential: **T**, **H**, **L** (1), **S** (2)). The example of Ugoniai church village can be analyzed in this respect. **C** (3) of this settlement is 49 (Fig.4). A very high **C** value demonstrates that the composition of the structure is more chaotic, irregular, than informative. For example, the silhouettes of Naujoji Ūta and Drasūniškis church villages can be described as very chaotic with the **C** (3) value of 72 (Fig.6). A very low **C** value demonstrates the uniformity of the elements of the structure. The structure merges with the surrounding environment and is non-informative and boring to spectator. For example, aesthetic potential **C** of Gegužinė church village is 1 (Fig.3). Homesteads and the church of this settlement are situated in the forested area and spectator can hardly distinguish its silhouette.

Assessment of the layout and spatial structure of the silhouettes of church villages has demonstrated that:

- aesthetic potential **C** of church villages with the extant authentic compositional structure of the silhouettes varies from 1 to 72. Such variation can basically be explained by the influence of the elements of the natural environment;
- changes in the layout of church villages are only partially reflected in the compositional structure of their silhouettes. This is determined by the number of residents, the type of layout, the relation between terrain and green structures which can eliminate or highlight the anthropogeneous elements in the silhouette;
- aesthetic potential of church villages layout (**C**) is only weakly related to the compositional features of their silhouettes.

5. Summing up and conclusions

1. Around 70 % of the analyzed church villages are currently the accumulations of large volumes visually dominating in the rural landscape. Their silhouettes have lost their compositional distinctiveness in Lithuanian rural landscapes formed in the past by the religious function.
2. Compositional links of church villages with the natural environment in the spatial structure of the rural landscape are defined by the features of the urban structures and their changes. Until the middle of the 20th century, church villages, as the spatial structures of the landscape shaped mainly by religious function had constituted

- characteristic panoramas of the rural landscape together with the natural elements.
3. Analysis of the changes in the compositional structure of church villages of the Soviet period has indicated that those church villages which were attributed to the category of the non-perspective agricultural settlements during the Soviet reform of the settlements system were the least modified; meanwhile, the church villages attributed to the group of central settlements of collective farms underwent major transformations of their composition.
 4. Results of the assessment of aesthetic potential and characteristic compositional features of the layout and spatial structure of church villages have demonstrated that only the settlements with the number of residents lower than 150 have retained the authentic silhouette formed up to the middle of the 20th century; however, the infrastructure and services in these settlements are minimal. Analysis of the institutional features has shown that 36% of the analyzed church villages existing in Alytus, Kaunas, and Marijampolė regions have possibly retained the authentic silhouette formed till the middle of the 20th century.

References

- Alovės bažnytkaimio kultūrologinių tyrimų praktikos ataskaita. KTU, Kraštovarkos centras./ vad. j.m.d. Vilma Karvelytė Balbierienė – K., 2005. 84 p.
- Anciškio bažnytkaimio kultūrologinių ir sociologinių tyrimų praktikos ataskaita. KTU, Kraštovarkos centras./ vad. Vilma Karvelytė Balbierienė – K., 2003. 91 p.
- Basalykas A. Lietuvos TSR kraštovaizdis. Vilnius, 1977. 346 p.
- Bielinskis F. Stanevičius St., Lietuvos TSR žemės ūkio gyvenvietes kuriantieji veiksniai ir jų reikšmė praktikoje. // Lietuvos TSR architektūros klausimai. 1966, T.3. p. 5-18.
- Bučas J. Kai kurios agrarinio kraštovaizdžio vizualinės charakteristikos // Lietuvos TSR architektūros klausimai, VI t. – Vilnius: Mokslas, 1980, p. 79 – 86.
- Bučas J. Lietuvos kaimo kraštovaizdžio raida ir istorinės vertybės. Vilnius, 1989. 118 p.
- Budriūnas A. R. Kraštovaizdžio estetinio rekreacinio vertinimo metodika / A. R. Budriūnas, K. Ėringis. Kaunas, 2000. 37 p.
- Darsūniškio bažnytkaimio kartografinio sutikslinimo ir architektūrinio apmatavimo praktikos ataskaita. KTU, Kraštovarkos centras./ vad. Vilma Karvelytė Balbierienė – K., 2009. 15 p.
- Gegužinės bažnytkaimio kultūrologinių ir sociologinių tyrimų praktikos ataskaita. KTU, Kraštovarkos centras./ vad. Jūratė Kamičaitytė Verbašienė – K., 2002. 97 p.
- Lamauskas A. Bučas J. Dringelis L. Kultūrologiniai kraštovaizdžio ir architektūros paveldo tyrimai. Kaunas, 2003. 129 p.
- Lietuvos kraštovarką. Bažnytkaimių kultūrologiniai tyrimai (K.. aps.): Mokslo tiriamojo darbo ataskaita (tarpinė) / KTU; Vadovas prof. habil. dr. J. Bučas – Ūkisk. sut. BMP9-23.– K., 2001. 72 p.
- Lietuvos kraštovarką. Bažnytkaimių kultūrologiniai tyrimai: Mokslo tiramoji darbo ataskaita (tarpinė) / KTU; Vadovas prof. habil. dr. J. Bučas. – Ūkisk. sut. BMP9-23 – K., 2004. 63 p.
- Lietuvos kraštovarką. Bažnytkaimių kultūrologiniai tyrimai: Mokslo tiramoji darbo ataskaita (tarpinė) / KTU; Vadovas prof. habil. dr. J. Bučas. – Ūkisk. sut. BMP9-23 – K., 2002. 125 p.
- Lietuvos kraštovarką. Bažnytkaimių kultūrologiniai tyrimai: Mokslo tiramoji darbo ataskaita (tarpinė) / KTU; Vadovas prof. habil. dr. J. Bučas. – Ūkisk. sut. BMP9-23 – K., 2003. 55 p.
- Lietuvos kraštovarką. Bažnytkaimių kultūrologiniai tyrimai: Mokslo tiramoji darbo ataskaita (tarpinė) / KTU; Vadovas prof. habil. dr. J. Bučas. – Ūkisk. sut. BMP9-23 – K., 2004. 63 p.
- Lietuvos kraštovarką. Bažnytkaimių kultūrologiniai tyrimai: Mokslo tiramoji darbo ataskaita (tarpinė) / KTU; Vadovas prof. habil. dr. J. Bučas. – Ūkisk. sut. BMP4-02 – K., 2005. 45 p.
- LTSR mažų miestų architektūrinio formavimo pagrindinių tendencijų nustatymas ir pirmoje eilėje nagrinėtinų problemų bei klausimų, siekiant pagerinti šių miestų architektūrinį sprendimą išryškėjimas: Mokslo tiriamojo darbo ataskaita / ASI; Vadovas arch.k.vyr.m.b. A. Miškinis. – Archyvinis Nr.458. – K., 1975. 107 p.
- Nicos A. Salingaros. Principles Of Urban Structure. Techne Press, Amsterdam, Holand, 2005. P. 252
- Pasiūlymų Lietuvos TSR kaimo gyvenviečių architektūrinės planinės ir erdvinės struktūros formavimui pagrindimas: Mokslo tir. darbo ataskaita / ASI; Vadovas men. m.k. F. Bielinskis. – Valst. reg. Nr. 68003909. – K., 1969. 94 p.
- Pasiūlymų ruošimas Lietuvos TSR kaimo gyvenviečių architektūrinės planinės ir erdvinės struktūros organizavimui. Rezultatas – projektiniai pasiūlymai 2-3 regionams: Mokslo tir. darbo ataskaita / ASI; Vadovas men. m.k. F. Bielinskis. – Valst. reg. Nr. 68003909. – K., 1970. 172 p.
- Purvinas M. Kraštovaizdžio architektūrinės analizės taikymas projektavime // Lietuvos TSR architektūros klausimai, VIII t. I sąs. – Vilnius, 1983. p. 52 – 61.
- Rekomendacijos dėl rajonų išplanavimo schemų papildymo duomenimis apie kaimo gyventojų kultūrinio - buitinio ir komunalinio aptarnavimo tarpūkinės reikšmės įstaigų koncentravimą stambiose kaimo ir miesto tipo gyvenvietėse; Mokslo tir. darbo ataskaita / ASI; Vadovas geogr.m.k. V. Maldžiūnas. – K., 1973. 209 p.
- Šilavoto bažnytkaimio kultūrologinių ir sociologinių tyrimų praktikos ataskaita: Mokslo tiriamojo darbo ataskaita (galutinė) / KTU; Vadovas dokt. V. Karvelytė Balbierienė. – K., 2001. 57 p.
- Ūdrijos bažnytkaimio kultūrologinių tyrimų praktikos ataskaita. KTU, Kraštovarkos centras./ vad. dr. Laura Jankauskaitė-Jurevičienė, asist. Jurga Vitkuvienė – K., 2005 79 p.
- Кудиркене Р. Поселения в природном ландшафте Литвы: автореферат диссертации на соискание учёной степени кандидата географии наук: Физическая география / Кудиркене Регина; Государственный университет им. В. Капсуко. Вильнюс, 1973. 31 стр.

Asist. Vilma Karvelytė Balbierienė, researcher at Kaunas University of Technology, Faculty of Civil Engineering and Architecture.

Main research areas: preservation of cultural heritage, cultural heritage of rural settlements.

Address: Studentu str. 48,
LT-51367, Kaunas, Lithuania

Tel.: +370 682 236358

Fax: +370 37 451546

E-mail: vilma.karvelyte_balbieriene@ktu.lt

Bažnytkaimių kompozicinių savybių kaita kaimo kraštovaizdyje

Vilma Karvelytė Balbierienė

KTU Architektūros ir kraštotvarkos katedra

(gauta 2010 m. gegužės mėn.; atiduota spaudai 2010 m. birželio mėn.)

Bažnytkaimiai yra svarbi Lietuvos urbanistinio karkaso dalis ir neatsiejamas kultūrinio kraštovaizdžio formantas. Nuo XVIII a. pab. bažnytkaimiai funkcionavo greta miestų, miestelių ir kaimų gyvenviečių. Jų funkcinė ir urbanistinė raida bei sklaida buvo ir yra glaudžiai susijusi su krikščionybės plėtra Lietuvoje. Šių sakralinės funkcijos prioriteto kaimiškujų gyvenviečių kompozicinę dermę kraštovaizdyje nulėmė jų lokalizacija pagal bažnytinius kanonus suformuota urbanistinė struktūra. Iki XX a. vidurio bažnytkaimių parapijų tinklas lėmė Lietuvos kaimiškojo kraštovaizdžio kultūrinį išskirtinumą. Todėl, siekiant išsaugoti krašto kultūrinį identitetą ir savastį, ypač aktualūs tampa Lietuvos bažnytkaimių kraštovaizdžio tyrimai.

Straipsnyje analizuojama bažnytkaimių kompozicinių savybių kaita kaimo kraštovaizdžio erdvinėje struktūroje, išaiškinami šių savybių formavimąsi ir raidą lemiantys veiksniai. Siekiant nuodugniau įvertinti bažnytkaimių erdvinės struktūros kompozicines savybes etnokultūriniame kraštovaizdyje, pateikiamas bažnytkaimių planinės ir erdvinės struktūros estetinio potencialo vertinimas.