Investigation of the Relationship between Shaded Cell Temperature and the Operating Point of PV Systems
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The building integrated photovoltaic applications (BIPV) are mostly affected by partial shading, which results in a significant decrease in energy efficiency and leads to the apparition of multiple power peaks on the I-V characteristic curve of photovoltaic generators. In mitigating this problem, sophisticated maximum power point tracking techniques (MPPT) are used to track the global peak among the other locals. These techniques do not take into account the overheating of the shaded cells given that, under some critical situations, shaded cell performances may degrade, thus affecting the lifespan of the photovoltaic modules. In this regard, the present work aims to investigate in which peak on the I-V characteristic curve the PV module should operate appropriately with less overheating risk. For that, the shaded cells’ temperatures and currents at different operating points were monitored. The results show that the worst-case scenario occurs for small shadow ratios (in the studied case, the shadow ratio ‘sr’ = 25\%) where the temperature of the shaded cell reached approximately three times (300\%) the temperature of non-shaded cells. This temperature decreases as the operating point is moved from the short circuit current towards the open-circuit voltage; as a result, the PV module works safely with minimum thermal stress for the maximum power peak near the open-circuit voltage.
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Introduction

Nowadays, the growing number of citizens and improving life standards have increased energy consumption in the world (Gecevičius et al., 2021). It is reported that 40\% of global energy is consumed by the building sector in several countries (Moran et al., 2017; Amber et al., 2017). The building sector is responsible for
approximately 33% of greenhouse gas emissions (Moran et al., 2017; Coma et al., 2018). In response to these high percentages, the building sector tends towards the application of net zero or nearly zero energy building (ZEB or nZEB, respectively) concept (Longo et al., 2019). In this way, the energy performance of buildings could be improved via the incorporation of renewable energy sources installed on-site or nearby (Aelenei et al., 2019) to satisfy local energy demands (Yang et al., 2019). Photovoltaic energy is one of the most promising renewable sources used to generate electricity in buildings and accelerate the transition towards energy neutral buildings (Spiliotis et al., 2019). However, the BIPV technology is usually affected by shading from surrounding objects (Wendlandt et al., 2010), as seen in the case of the 1000 Photovoltaic Roofs Program executed between 1991 and 1995 in Germany (Jahn et al., 2004). In this program, it was reported that partial shading affects more than 40% of the installed PV systems. Under these adverse conditions, shaded cells become reverse biased, dissipate power in the form of heat, and may cause a hot-spot phenomenon (Satpathy et al., 2017).

In the presence of partial shading, the current-voltage (I-V) characteristic exhibits a multi-step pattern, whereas the power voltage (P-V) characteristic will exhibit a multi-peak pattern (one global maximum and other local maxima) (Malathy et al., 2017). The number of peaks depends upon various factors, such as module interconnection (Patel et al., 2008), shaded area, location of shaded modules within the array, and number of bypass diodes that the PV array contains (Malathy et al., 2015). In the research literature, the electrical effect of shading on PV modules has been widely investigated. In some studies (Li et al., 2018; Belhachat and Larbes, 2019), reviews of the global maximum power point tracking techniques (GMPPs) using conventional and artificial intelligence concepts have been presented. Some of these techniques were developed, implemented, and then tested through experimental studies (Ahmed et al., 2017). In Batzelis et al. (2015), 46 existing models for partially shaded PV systems were presented. These models were used to describe the PV cell’s reverse operation, evaluate shading losses, simulate the I-V curve and determine the global maximum power peaks (GMPPs). To reduce mismatch losses, the partial shading effect on different PV array configurations was studied in (Malathy et al., 2017; Yadav et al., 2017). Some shading fault detection methods were also proposed (Bressan et al., 2016; Fadhel et al., 2019).

On the other hand, several works that deal with the thermal effect of shading exist. An experimental study on the hot spot phenomenon is presented in Moretón et al. (2015). In Rossi et al. (2015), a thermal model for the shaded PV modules is proposed. A review on faults and infrared thermography diagnosis was presented in a study by Tsanakas et al. (2016). In other studies (Ma et al., 2019; Gosumbonggot et al., 2019), hotspot detection strategies were discussed. The hot-spot effect on shingled PV modules was investigated in Clement et al. (2020), and the influence of inactive bypass diodes on the temperature distribution in shaded PV modules was studied in Mohammed et al. (2020).

Motivated by the above observations, the work presented in this paper investigates the relationship between the electrical and the thermal behaviors of PV systems under shading conditions. The obtained results showed that the thermal stress undergone by the shaded PV parts depends on the operating point position on the I-V characteristic curve where the temperature decreased as the operating point is moving from the short circuit current towards the open-circuit voltage. This means that the PV module works safely with minimum thermal stress for the maximum power peak near the open circuit voltage.

**Problem formulation**

**Photovoltaic cell modeling**

In the research literature, several conventional solar cell models exist. The one- and two-diode models are largely used and referenced (Paraskevadaki et al., 2011). These models are used to obtain the I-V curve and describe the PV cell behavior under irradiation’s homogenous distribution. In the presence of shading, negative voltages appear across the affected cells’ terminals and thus behave as receivers. Several models have been developed as the previously cited models do not take into consideration the cell reverse operation mode. The alternative models introduce the avalanche
Three operating regions can be distinguished:

Region 1 is characterized by a negative voltage and a positive current. In this region, the PV cell behaves as a receiver. This occurs when the irradiation is not homogeneous on the PV module.

Region 2 is characterized by a positive voltage and a positive current. In this case, the PV cell behaves like a generator. This could be related to homogeneous irradiation on the entire module.

Region 3 is characterized by a positive voltage and a negative current. In this region, the PV module becomes a receiver. This happens in the presence of a directly connected load that can switch from the receiver mode to the generator mode, for example, a battery at night. To avoid this phenomenon, a blocking diode is commonly inserted between the PV generator and the battery.

Fig. 2 shows the experimental I-V and P-V characteristics of a 200 Wp partially shaded module for an irradiance level of 915 W/m² and an ambient temperature of 23°C. This module is endowed by three by-pass diodes connected in anti-parallel to three strings of 24 cells. In this test, two partially covered cells named Cell 01 and Cell 02 were considered. The shadow ratio (sr) represents the percentage of the covered part within the cell, where sr = 25% for Cell 01 and sr = 70% for Cell 02. These specific shadow ratios are chosen to have an I-V curve with three steps. The electrical parameters of this module are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Characteristics of the PV module used in the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Module characteristics</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Numerical value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum power</td>
<td>( P_{\text{max}} )</td>
<td>200 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open circuit voltage</td>
<td>( V_{\text{oc}} )</td>
<td>45.6 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short circuit current</td>
<td>( I_{\text{sc}} )</td>
<td>5.8 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimal voltage</td>
<td>( V_{\text{mp}} )</td>
<td>36.9 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimal current</td>
<td>( I_{\text{mp}} )</td>
<td>5.42 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal operating cell temperature</td>
<td>NOCT</td>
<td>45 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of cells</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of by-pass diodes</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>03 (01 diode for 24 cells)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To evaluate the two shaded cells’ temperature at different operating points, it is necessary to connect the module to a variable load. For this purpose, an Agilent “N3300A

\[
I = I_{\text{ph}} - I_{\text{d}} - I_{\text{r}} = I_{\text{ph}} - I_{\text{ph}} e^{-\eta_{\text{ph}}(V + IR_{a})} \left( \frac{V + IR_{a}}{V_{\text{ph}} + IR_{a}} \right)^{-m}
\]

Where: \( I_{\text{ph}} \) is the photo-generated current; \( R_{a} \) expresses the shunt resistance; \( R_{s} \) is the series resistance; \( I_{g} \) is the diode’s reverse-bias saturation current; \( n \) is the diode ideality factor; \( T \) is the temperature of the cell; \( q \) is the electron charge; \( k \) is Boltzman’s constant; \( a (Ω-1) \) is the fraction of ohmic current involved in avalanche breakdown; \( V_{\text{ph}}(V) \) is the junction breakdown voltage; \( m \) is the avalanche breakdown exponent.

The equivalent circuit and the I-V characteristic curves of the Bishop model are shown in Fig. 1.
1800-Watt DC* Programmable Electronic Load was used. The operating point can be changed by changing the DC electronic load voltage via its LabVIEW interface. The following sections describe the experimental setups used to measure the temperatures and the electrical parameters of the partially shaded module.

**Temperature measurement of the shaded cells at different operating points**

This setup is used to measure the temperature of the shaded cells and record the module’s electrical parameters at different operating points. The setup is depicted in Fig. 3. It consists of a PV module (200 Wp) connected directly to the Agilent "N3300A 1800-Watt DC* Electronic Load. Two cells named Cell 01 and Cell 02 from different strings of the module were purposely covered with shadow ratios (sr) of 25% and 70%, respectively. Temperatures of the two shaded cells and another unshaded cell were sensed using PT100 sensors placed at the backsides. These sensors were connected to an Agilent data acquisition (34972A) that records and displays measurements in real-time via the LabVIEW interface on a computer. The electronic load could impose different operating voltages during the test period. The voltage, the current, and the power of the module were registered automatically. The sampling rate of the acquisition systems was set to 30 s.

The experiments were carried out on a clear day from 12:00 to 14:00. The irradiance ‘Φ’ varied between 985 W/m² and 1025 W/m², the ambient temperature ‘T’ varied between 22.7°C and 23.5°C, and the wind speed ‘v’ was less than 1 m/s.

The test was divided into eight phases of 15 minutes each (from Ph 01 to Ph 08). In each phase, the electronic load was programmed to impose a specific operating voltage. For the first phase (Ph 01), the electronic load imposed V_{sc} as the operating voltage. For the second Phase (Ph 02), the electronic load imposed an operating voltage of 36 volts. Phase after phase, the operating voltages were decreased by 6 V until it reached 06 volts for Phase 07. In the last phase (Ph 08), V_{sc} was imposed again by the electronic load.

**Shaded cell performances for any operating point from the I-V curve**

This setup is used to calculate the dissipated power by the shaded cells as a function of the module operating voltage. For this purpose, the same partially
Fig. 3. (a) First setup used for measuring temperatures of the shaded cells and the electrical parameters of the module at different operating points, (b) Bloc diagram of the first setup

Results and Discussion

The obtained results from the experiment are presented in this section. The variation in the temperature of the shaded cells, output voltage, current, and power are evaluated in the first subsection, while the dissipated power by the shaded cells and their voltages for any operating point are evaluated in the second subsection.

Temperature of shaded cells and electrical output performances of the PV module

For the first setup, the electrical variables, namely current, voltage, and power delivered by the PV module for
the eight operating phases were recorded via an electronic load (Agilent “N3300A 1800-Watt DC”). In contrast, the temperatures of the two shaded cells and the unshaded one were recorded via the data logger (Agilent 34972A). The obtained results are presented in Fig. 5.

**Fig. 5.** (a) Current, voltage and power of the PV module during the test, (b) Temperatures of the shaded cells during the test

![Graph showing current, voltage, and power](image)

![Graph showing temperatures of shaded cells](image)

It can be noticed that from Phase 01 to Phase 04, which corresponds to operating voltages of V_{oc}, 36 V, 30 V, and 24 V accordingly, the temperature of Cell 01 (sr = 25%) is steady around 49°C. Starting from Phase 05, with the corresponding operating voltage of 18 V, the temperature for Cell 01 begins to increase until it reaches approximately 90°C. For Phase 06, corresponding to an operating voltage of 12 V, the temperature reaches a high value, which is around 134°C. For Phase 07, which corresponds to an operating voltage of 06 V, the temperature continues to increase until it reaches a maximum value of approximately 145°C. For the last phase (Phase 08), which corresponds to the disconnection of the PV module, the temperature decreases until it reaches the temperature of the surrounding unshaded cells.

For Cell 02 (sr = 70%), the temperature is steady at around 50°C for the first two phases. For Phase 03, however, temperature increases until it reaches 88°C. Furthermore, in Phase 04, the temperature continues to increase until it reaches 130°C and remains steady around this value for Phase 05, 06, and 07. For the last phase (Phase 08), the temperature decreases until it reaches the temperature of the surrounding unshaded cells.

By combining part (a) and (b) of Fig. 5, the following noteworthy observations can be made:

- Phase 02 (V_{2} = 36V) represents the best case with a temperature of approximately 49°C for the two shaded cells and a generated power of 80W.
- Phase 07 (V_{7} = 06V) represents the worst-case with a temperature of approximately 145°C for Cell 01 (sr = 25%) and 130°C for Cell 02 (sr = 70%) and a generated power of 33W.
- Phase 03 and Phase 05 (V_{3} = 30V and V_{5} = 18V) have approximately a similar generated power (about 70W), but the temperatures of the shaded cells are different (Phase 05 is more stressful than Phase 03).

**Table 2.** Temperatures of the shaded and unshaded cells for different operating points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>PV module power (W)</th>
<th>Unshaded cell temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Cell 1 temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Cell 2 temperature (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Generated power (Best case)</td>
<td>Phase 2</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generated power (Worst case)</td>
<td>Phase 7</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Similar generated power</td>
<td>Phase 3</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phase 5</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
in the PV module after achieving thermal equilibrium (at the phase’s end time). The resulting image is presented in Fig. 6. The intensity towards the yellow color would indicate that the temperature is getting higher.

**Fig. 6. Temperature distribution for the worst case (Phase 7)**

**Shaded cells performances for any operating point from the I-V curve**

To explain why the temperatures of the shaded cells reached high levels, the second setup was used separately to measure the total current of the module and the current flow through the bypass diodes when the I-V curve was plotted. Fig. 7 shows the obtained results at an irradiance level of 915 W/m² and an ambient temperature of 23°C. The current flow through the strings can be calculated using the mathematical function of the oscilloscope (string current (I1 or I2) = total current (I) – bypass diode current (ID1 or ID2)).

**Fig. 7. Total current, by-pass diode currents and shaded cells currents when the I-V curve is being plotted**

From Fig. 7, the current of each shaded cell equals to the total current when the corresponding bypass diode is inactive; it keeps the same current value of the switching bypass diode point when this later is activated (approximately 2 A for Cell 02 and 4 A for Cell 01). Fig. 8 shows the currents flowing through the shaded cells and the total current versus the module voltage.

**Fig. 8. Current flows through the shaded cells and the non-shaded string versus the module voltage**

By considering ideal bypass diodes, each shaded cell’s reverse voltage can be calculated approximately from the I-V curve. Therefore, the dissipated power by each shaded cell can also be determined. Fig. 9 illustrates five operating zones for calculating the reverse voltage and the considered cells’ dissipated power.

**Fig. 9. Schematic diagram for calculating shaded cells’ voltages and powers**

Zone 1 (from 0 to V1): In this zone, the bypass diode D1 is activated, so the shaded cell 01 voltage and the
The dissipated power by each shaded cell can be determined easily by multiplying its voltage and its corresponding current. In Fig. 11, both the voltage and dissipated power by each cell are shown.

The nearest power peak to the \( V_{OC} \) point is the safest peak for the PV module’s operation because all maximum current flowing through it, specified by its steady temperature from Phase 04 to Phase 07. Essentially, Cell 02 (sr = 70%) behaves similarly to Cell 01; it becomes a generator when the corresponding bypass diode is switched ‘OFF’ (in the interval \([V_2 - V_{OC}]\)), which is explained by its steady temperature for Phase 01 and Phase 02. Starting from Phase 03, however, Cell 02 behaves as a receiver.

In Phase 04, the cell dissipates more power until it reaches a maximum value that corresponds to the maximum current flowing through it, specified by its steady temperature from Phase 04 to Phase 07.

In summary, for the nearest maximum power point to \( V_{OC} \), all shaded cells would behave as generators. This point is determined to be the safest operating point for a
PV module and/or array. On the other hand, the highest internal temperature within the shaded cells corresponds to the nearest maximum power point to $I_{sc}$. This temperature increases when the shadow ratio decreases.

**Conclusion**

Experimental analyses of the relation between the operating point of a partially shaded PV module and the thermal stress within its shaded parts have been carried out. It was found that this thermal stress is directly proportional to the power dissipated by the shaded parts. The worst-case scenario occurs when the bypass diodes are activated, in which the cell that has the smallest shadow ratio exhibits maximum internal temperature. This temperature is gradually decreasing. When the operating point moves from the diode switching point to the $V_{oc}$ point, both the current and amplitude of the reverse voltage of the shaded cells will decrease. This leads to a decrease in the power dissipated by the shaded cells. When the voltage across the shaded cells becomes positive, the cells will operate as a generator, and its internal temperature will be approximately the same as that of a non-shaded cell.

Therefore, the higher the operating point current, the higher the thermal stress within module shaded parts. Besides, it is worth noticing the following:

- The nearest power peak to the $V_{oc}$ point is the safest peak for the PV module’s operation because all shaded cells would behave as generators.
- The nearest power peak to the $I_{sc}$ point is the worst peak for the PV module’s operation due to a higher power dissipation by the shaded cells.
- The shaded cells dissipate maximum power when the bypass diode is activated. This dissipated power increases when the shadow ratio of the cell decreases.

Under some partial shading patterns, the peaks that appear on the I-V curve may be equal. In such a case, the MPPT techniques devised to tackle the shading issue may track the peak where the temperature of the shaded cells is higher, which may have adverse effects on the cell performances. The present work results may contribute towards improving the MPPT techniques under partial shading conditions in terms of security against the hot-spot phenomenon. This can be achieved by adding some snippets in the MPPT code to choose the peak where the temperature of the shaded parts is the lowest.
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